

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/811,906	03/19/2001	Silverio C. Vasquez	Raamot 15-9 (1501-0029) 8962	
759	90 05/08/2006		EXAMI	NER
Harold C. Moo	ore		BOUTAH,	ALINA A
Maginot, Addison & Moore Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000			2143	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/811,906	VASQUEZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alina N Boutah	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J	lanuary 2006.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	•				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the application is/are pending in the application is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the application is/are pending in the application and/or control of the application is/are pending in the application and/or control of the application is/are pending in the application and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are with a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application and a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim(s) 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application and a subject to restriction and/or control of the above claim (s) 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application and a subject to restriction and/or control of the application and a subject to restriction and a subject t	hdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	·				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on January 20, 2006, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter referred to as AAPA) in view USPN 5,560,038 issued to Haddock, in further view of Examiner's Official Notice.

Regarding claim 1, AAPA teaches a method for communicating information between a plurality of local area network sections having different transmission speeds, the plurality of local area network sections employing a physical layer protocol in which an unsuccessful transmission is communicated to a transmission source prior to completion of the transmission, the method comprising the steps of:

a) receiving, within the physical layer protocol, a packet that is transmitted from a source terminal in a source network section having a source transmission speed to a destination terminal in a destination network section having a destination transmission speed (specification page 1, 3rd paragraph, lines 1-7 and page 2, second paragraph, lines 1-6).

However, AAPA fails to teach the destination transmission speed differing from the source transmission speed, b) determining the transmission speed for the destination terminal; and c) re-transmitting, within the physical layer protocol, the received packet to the destination network section at the destination transmission speed.

Haddock teaches the destination transmission speed differing from the source transmission speed, b) and c) in col. 3, lines 13-29; col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 12; col. 4, lines 43-67; col. 6, lines 14-19; col. 6, line 63 to col. 7, line 12. At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to determine the transmission speed for the destination terminal and re-transmit, within the physical layer protocol, the received packet to the destination network section at the destination transmission speed in order to interconnect heterogeneous networks that operate at different transmission speeds, therefore maximizing the throughput of the data transmission.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,906

Although neither AAPA nor Haddock explicitly teach receiving and retransmitting data packet within the physical layer, Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP § 2144.03) that in a computer networking environment, this feature is well known in the art at the time the invention was made. The Applicant is entitled to traverse any/all official notice taken in this action according to MPEP § 2144.03, namely, "if applicant traverses such an assertion, the examiner should cite a reference in support of his or her position". However, MPEP § 2144.03 further states "See also In re Boon, 439 F.2d 724, 169 USPQ 231 (CCPA 1971) (a challenge to the taking of judicial notice must contain adequate information or argument to create on its face a reasonable doubt regarding the circumstances justifying the judicial notice)." Specifically, In re Boon, 169 USPQ 231, 234 states "as we held in Ahlert, an applicant must be given the opportunity to challenge either the correctness of the fact asserted or the notoriety or repute of the reference cited in support of the assertion. We did not mean to imply by this statement that a bald challenge, with nothing more, would be all that was needed". Further note that 37 CFR § 1.671(c)(3) states "Judicial notice means official notice". Thus, a traversal by the Applicant that is merely "a bald challenge, with nothing more" will be given very little weight.

Regarding claim 2, AAPA teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising, prior to step c, determining whether the destination network section is busy prior to the re-transmitting step (Specification, page 2, 1st paragraph, lines 1-2; page 3, 1st paragraph, lines 3-6).

Regarding claim 3, AAPA teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising, after step b, determining whether the destination network section is busy prior to the re-transmitting step (Specification, page 2, 1st paragraph, lines 1-2; page 3, 1st paragraph, lines 3-6).

Regarding claim 4, AAPA teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step c further comprises commencing re-transmission of the received packet before the source terminal completes its transmission of the packet (specification, page 3, 1st paragraph, line 3 to 2nd paragraph line 5).

Regarding claim 5, AAPA teaches the method of claim 4, further comprising delaying the re-transmission of the received packet (specification, page 3, 2nd paragraph, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 6, AAPA fails to teach the method of claim 5, further comprising: commencing re-transmission of the received packet at a higher speed after receiving only a portion of the received packet; re-transmitting the received packet continuously at the higher speed; and completing re-transmission of the received packet after completely receiving the received packet.

Haddock teaches commencing re-transmission of the received packet at a higher speed after receiving only a portion of the received packet; re-transmitting the received packet continuously at the higher speed; and completing re-transmission of the received packet after completely receiving the received packet (col. 2, lines 9-32; col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 2).

At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Haddock with AAPA in order to to interconnect

Art Unit: 2143

heterogeneous networks that operate at different transmission speeds, therefore maximizing the throughput of the data transmission.

Regarding claim 7, AAPA fails to teach the method of claim 1, further comprising: controlling a cross point to connect the source network section to the destination network section. Haddock teaches controlling a cross point to connect the source network section to the destination network section (col. 5, lines 1-21). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ a cross point to connect the source network section to the destination network section in order to interconnect heterogeneous networks that operate at different transmission speeds, therefore maximizing the throughput of the data transmission.

Regarding claim 8, the AAPA-Haddock combination teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising: controlling a first cross point to unilaterally connect the destination network section to an interface circuit; and employing the interface circuit to determine whether the destination network section is busy (AAPR, specification, page 3, 1st and 2nd paragraph; Haddock, col. 5, lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 9, the AAPA-Haddock combination the method of claim 8, further comprising: controlling a second cross point to unilaterally connect the source network section to

the destination network section if the interface circuit determines that the destination network section is not busy (AAPR, specification, page 3, 1st and 2nd paragraph; Haddock, col. 5, lines 1-21).

Page 7

Regarding claim 10, AAPA teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising: signaling a collision to the source network section if the interface circuit determines that the destination network section is busy (specification, page 3, lines 6-8).

Regarding claim 11, AAPA teaches a method for communicating information between a plurality of local area network sections having different transmission speeds, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) receiving a packet that is transmitted from a source terminal in a source network section having a source transmission speed to a destination terminal in a destination network section having a destination transmission speed (specification page 1, 3rd paragraph, lines 1-7 and page 2, second paragraph, lines 1-6);
- c) determining whether the destination network section is not busy prior to receiving all of the packet (specification page 3, 2nd paragraph, lines 1-5); and
- d) re-transmitting the received packet to the destination network section at the destination transmission speed if the destination network section is determined to be not busy (specification page 3, 2nd paragraph, lines 1-5).

Page 8

However, APPA fails to teach the destination the transmission speed differing from the source transmission speed and b) determining the transmission speed for the destination terminal. Haddock teaches the destination transmission speed differing from the source transmission speed and b) determining the transmission speed for the destination terminal (col. 6, lines 14-19; col. 6, line 63 to col. 7, line 12). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to enable the transmission speed to be different from the source transmission speed, and to determine the transmission speed for the destination in order to interconnect heterogeneous networks that operate at different transmission speeds, therefore maximizing the throughput of the data transmission.

Claim 12 is similar to claim 4, therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 13-18 are similar to claims 5-10, respectively, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,906

Art Unit: 2143

Conclusion

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N. Boutah whose telephone number is 571-272-3908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 am - 5:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANB

3

JEFFREY PWO PRIMARY EXAMINE